

The State of the 4th Estate – Media Law
(Fake News, Alternative Facts, and the Law)
Friday, June 28, 2017

*“We may now live in a world of ‘fake news’ and ‘alternate facts,’
but if we cannot trust, honor, and respect our Judges and Justices,
who can we trust?”*

–In re Callaghan, 796 S.E.2d 604, 641 (W. Va. Feb. 9, 2017)

Moderator: Mark R. Gaylord, Esq. (Ballard Spahr LP)

Panelists: Professor Eugene Volokh (UCLA Law School)

Jeffrey J. Hunt, Esq. (Parr Brown Gee & Loveless)

Paul S. Edwards (Governor Herbert’s Deputy Chief of Staff.)

What is fake news?

- Fake news is published with the intent to mislead in order to gain financially or politically, often with sensationalist, exaggerated, or patently false headlines that grab attention.
- Seven types of fake news:
 - Satire or parody
 - False connection
 - Misleading content
 - False content
 - Imposter content
 - Manipulated content
 - Fabricated content

Why do we care about fake news?

- In today's world, fake news affects all of us.
- Pew Research study – December 2016
 - 64% reported fake news causes a “great deal of confusion.”
 - 24% reported “some confusion.”
 - 11% reported “not much” or “no confusion.”
 - 71% reported they “often” or “sometimes” saw completely made up political news stories.

The History of Fake News

- The idea of “fake news” and “alternative facts” is not new.
 - Medieval times
 - Sedition Act – 1798
 - World War I – *Schaefer v. United States*, 251 U.S. 466 (1920).
 - FCC broadcast regulations

Where do consumers get their news?

- **Sources of news**
 - Mainstream media
 - Internet
 - Facebook/Social media
 - Access to mass audience
 - 62% of U.S. adults get some news via social media
 - No editorial standards
 - No fact-checking
 - No consequences?

The 2016 Election and Fake News

- **2016 Presidential Election**
 - The concept of fake news came to prevalence
 - Did fake news and alternative facts have an impact?
 - Stanford University study reported 159M views of 65 “fake news” sites.

Fake News in the Judiciary

- **Potential Causes of Action to Combat Fake News**
 - Defamation/Libel/Slander
 - False Light
 - Fraud

Fake News in the Judiciary

- ***FTC v. LeadClick Media, LLC*, 838 F.3d 158 (2d Cir. 2016)**
 - FTC fined LeadClick Media, an advertising company for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 45 (FTC Act).
 - LeadClick knew of several fake news sites it used to promote their client's product.
 - By allowing the use of fake news sites, LeadClick engaged in a deceptive practice and was held liable under the FTC Act.
- ***In re Grand Jury, Gronowicz*, 764 F.2d 983 (3rd Cir. 1985)**
 - Author falsely represented he interviewed Pope for his book entitled "God's Broker."
 - Publisher sued for civil fraud.
 - Intentional false statements receive no 1st Amendment protection.

Fake News in the Judiciary

- ***Leidig v. BuzzFeed, Inc.*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 76660 (S.D.N.Y. May 9, 2017).**
 - BuzzFeed, Inc. posted an article claiming that plaintiff was in the business of publishing “fake news.”
 - Plaintiff sued for defamation, claiming he never published any fake news.
 - Court denied the Plaintiff’s MSJ, stating that it cannot find the statements in the BuzzFeed article defamatory as a matter of law without a complete evaluation of the facts at issue.

Are there solutions to fake news?

- Philippines – Senate Bill 1492 – June 22, 2017
 - Seeks to punish those who create, publish, or spread false news
 - False news is defined as news “*which either intend to cause panic, division, chaos, violence, and hate, or those which exhibit a propaganda to blacken or discredit one's reputation.*”
 - Punishments:
 - **Private Citizens**: 1–5 years imprisonment and P100,000–P5,000,000 fine (approx. \$2,000–\$100,000 USD)
 - **Public Officials**: Double penalties and barred from office
 - **Mass Media Outlets**: 10–20 years imprisonment and P10,000,000–P20,000,000 fine (approx. \$200,000–\$400,000 USD)

Are there solutions to fake news?

- **Germany – NetzDG or “Network Enforcement Act” – June 30, 2017**
 - Aims to hold social media networks responsible for hosting fake news and hate speech
 - Social media networks (< 2 million users) must remove hateful content or fake news within a 24 hour time period or face fines
 - Specifically cites “the experience in the US election campaign” as a reason for a crackdown on “punishable false reports (‘fake news’).”
 - Punishments:
 - **Social Media Outlets:**
 - Fines up to €50,000,000 (approx. \$53,000,000 USD)

Are there solutions to fake news?

- Czech Republic
 - Created a government task force called the “Centre Against Terrorism and Hybrid Threats”
 - Dedicated to countering “disinformation campaigns related to internet security.”
- Singapore
 - News laws to combat fake news will be introduced next year

Self-Regulation by Social Media Networks

- Facebook
 - Published advertisements on how to spot fake news
 - Removed tens of thousands of fake accounts in Britain
 - Hiring 3,000 more moderators to police fake content
- Twitter
 - Exploring options to let user flag tweets that contain fake news
- Google
 - Introduced a report feature to let users report misleading results

Does the United States need a solution?

- Are the current laws enough to protect us?
 - Defamation/Libel/Slander laws
- Do we need new legislation?
- Self-policing by the media?
- Other potential solutions?
- Potential drawbacks:
 - First Amendment concerns
 - Enforcement concerns